Supervisor statement regarding negative regular assessment

Name of PhD Student:

Date:

Name of Principal supervisor:

Name of Primary co-supervisor:

Name of other supervisor(s):

Name of external assessor, if any:

Please explain why and how you and the other supervisor(s) doesn't think that the PhD Students PhD Programme is progressing in accordance with the PhD Plan (*not satisfactory*):

Please take into consideration any documented illness, parental leave, or other approved leaves of absence before writing the negative statement.

Please also take the following questions into consideration before writing the negative statement:

1. *Has the student failed to hand in a progress report of 1-2 pages containing the required elements?*
2. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** been able to explain the project's background, purpose and hypotheses in a satisfactory manner and if the student has, or has **not**, an acceptable understanding of the research field in general?*
3. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** been able to present, interpret and discuss the results generated in the project in a satisfactory manner?*
4. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** accounted for changes made to the project plan and if the student has or has **not** presented a realistic timetable for the next project period?*
5. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** completed or planned courses according to the PhD plan?*
6. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** completed a stay at another research environment or if plans for the change of environment has, or has **not** been made according to the requirements of spending time in another research environment?*
7. *Consider whether the student has or has **not** carried out the planned dissemination activities and/or if appropriate adjustments to the plan have, or have **not** been agreed?*
8. *Consider whether the student and the supervisor group have or have **not** revisited the completed [expectation alignment sheet](), and if not, why has this alignment sheet **not** been revisited?*